

S3 Table. Data Extraction Form

1. Administrative information	
1.1 Study title	
1.2 Publication year	
1.3 Author(s)	
1.4 Type of review	<div> <input type="checkbox"/> Effectiveness review with meta-analysis – <i>a review that provides effectiveness through pooled effect sizes for either subgroups or overall group</i> </div> <div> <input type="checkbox"/> Effectiveness review with narrative synthesis – <i>a review that describes effectiveness without pooling of data</i> </div> <div> <input type="checkbox"/> Mixed review – quantitative & qualitative studies – <i>a review of both qualitative and quantitative studies systematically drawn together to present evidence on a particular issue</i> </div> <div> <input type="checkbox"/> Qualitative evidence synthesis – <i>a review of systematic syntheses of qualitative evidence which normally reports perceptions, opinions or experiences</i> </div> <div> <input type="checkbox"/> Realist review – <i>a review that examines the theories that explains why an intervention works, for whom and in what circumstances</i> </div> <div> <input type="checkbox"/> Systematic narrative synthesis – <i>a review that can consider any topic that is not effectiveness or perceptions/experiences</i> </div> <div> <input type="checkbox"/> Other reviews: _____ <i>Specify the review</i> <i>***Possible to select one or more</i> </div>

Key information to extract from each included systematic review

2. Region/Population		
2.1 Regions	<input type="checkbox"/> sub-Saharan Africa <input type="checkbox"/> Middle East & North Africa <input type="checkbox"/> Latin America & Caribbean <input type="checkbox"/> East Asia & Pacific <input type="checkbox"/> South Asia <input type="checkbox"/> Europe & Central Asia <input type="checkbox"/> North America	<input type="checkbox"/> LIC <input type="checkbox"/> LMIC <input type="checkbox"/> UMIC <input type="checkbox"/> HIC <input type="checkbox"/> All <i>* As per World Bank 2018</i> <i>*Possible to select one or more</i>
2.2 South Africa	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
2.3 Country(ies) <i>State country and/or city</i>		
2.4 Target group <i>State which SMI or which group of condition</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Serious mental illness (schizophrenia, major depression, severe anxiety, bipolar disorder etc) <input type="checkbox"/> Mild-Moderate illness (depression, anxiety)	
INTERVENTION EVIDENCE		

Intervention focus

Note: You can tick more than one if the study reports multiple relevant interventions

☐ **Specialised community-based services**

(interventions run by specialists but located in the community)

Community mental health teams/ACT/other

Day hospital/clinic

☐ **Integration of Care/Collaborative interventions**

(any model of care where different cadres of health workers collaborate, or where physical and mental health care is provided in an integrated way)

Care models

Case management

Community interventions

Consultation liaison

Interprofessional collaboration

Shared patient healthcare worker decision-making

☐ **Task-shifting/Sharing approaches**

(where less skilled / trained cadre take on tasks normally carried out by more highly trained staff)

Task-shifting for mental health needs

Task-shifting for physical needs of mentally ill

☐ **E-health interventions**

(any intervention that involves internet based technology – the rationale is that such technologies will relieve some of the workload of PHC staff)

Information/technology

M-health

Telemedicine

Web-based therapy

☐ **Group therapy vs. individual therapy**

(as group therapy allows one health care worker to support several patients at once this could potentially relieve the workload of PHC staff)

☐ **Strategies that empower families, carers and patients**

(enlisting families, carers and patients may improve outcomes, and relieve PHC staff)

Addressing care-giver burden

Community residential/day centres

Counselling

Healthy lifestyle interventions

Psychosocial interventions
Psychoeducation
Psychotherapy
Self-help interventions
Support groups
Vocational interventions
Financial incentives

☐ **Psychotherapy & psychosocial interventions vs./in combination with pharmacotherapy**
(the balance between psychosocial and pharmacotherapy has implications for human resource and costs at PHC level as well as patient outcomes)

☐ **Early detection and preventative strategies**
(early detection, prevention and screening strategies all have implications for patient outcomes as well as cost implications)

Identifying high-risk individuals
Strategies for prevention
Screening tools for early detection

☐ **Systemic strategies that may change provider behaviour and strengthen the quality of care**

Strategies to strengthen adherence to clinical guidelines
Monitoring framework for process or patient outcomes
Financial incentive framework for providers
Improved data collection on mental illness at PHC

OUTCOMES

Note: You can tick more than one if the study reports multiple relevant outcomes

- ☐ Hospital admissions
- ☐ Adherence in care & treatment/retention in care
- ☐ Staff knowledge/skills
- ☐ Psychiatric/clinical symptoms outcomes
- ☐ Functional/Quality of life outcomes
- ☐ Cost-effective outcomes
- ☐ Family/societal outcomes
- ☐ Feasibility, acceptability, safety, & usability
- ☐ Waiting times & Scheduling of appointments
- ☐ **Others:** _____ *Specify them*
- ☐ No studies met the inclusion criteria

Quality Score

- ☐ **High quality (7-10)**
- ☐ **Medium quality (3-6)**
- ☐ **Low quality (0-2)**

